arXiv:hep-th/9201011v1 8 Jan 1992

HU-TFT-91-44
FIAN/TD/04-91
ITEP-M-4/91

On Equivalence of Topological and Quantum 2d Gravity

A.Marshakov, A.Mironov

Research Institute for Theoretical Physics
University of Helsinki
Siltavuorenpenger 20 C, SF-00170 Helsinki, Finland
and
Department of Theoretical Physics
P.N.Lebedev Physical Institute
Leninsky prospect, 53, Moscow, 117 924 [1],[2]

A.Morozov

Research Institute for Theoretical Physics
University of Helsinki
Siltavuorenpenger 20 C, SF-00170 Helsinki, Finland
and
Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics
Bol.Cheremushkinskaya st., 25, Moscow, 117 259 [3]

---

[1] E-mail address: theordep@sci.fian.msk.su

[2] Permanent address

[3] Permanent address

ABSTRACT

We demonstrate the equivalence of Virasoro constraints imposed on continuum limit of partition function of Hermitean 1-matrix model and the Ward identities of Kontsevich's model. Since the first model describes ordinary $d = 2$ quantum gravity, while the second one is supposed to coincide with Witten's topological gravity, the result provides a strong implication that the two models are indeed the same.

1 Introduction

From the early days of matrix models there is a belief that partition functions of two *a priori* different models of 2d gravity should coincide. The first is the (square root of) partition function $\sqrt{Z_{qg}\{T_n\}}$ of ordinary Polyakov's 2d *quantum gravity*, described by the continuum limit of Hermitean 1-matrix model [1] (and is known to be a τ-function of KdV hierarchy [2]). The second partition function Z_{tg} of Witten's *topological gravity* [3] is *a priori* defined as a kind of generating functional of intersection indices of divisors on module spaces of Riemann surfaces with punctures.

Later it was discovered [4,5] that $\sqrt{Z_{qg}\{T_n\}}$ satisfies a set of Virasoro constraints:

$$\mathcal{L}_n\sqrt{Z_{qg}\{T\}} = 0,\ n \geq -1 \tag{1}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \sum_{k\geq\delta_{n+1,0}} (k+\frac{1}{2})T_k\frac{\partial}{\partial T_{k+n}} + \sum_{\substack{a+b=n-1\\ a,b\geq 0}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T_a \partial T_b} + \delta_{n+1,0}\cdot\frac{T_0^2}{16} + \delta_{n,0}\cdot\frac{1}{16}$$

Eq. (1) can be deduced [6] as a continuum limit of Ward identities in discrete matrix model, associated with the shift of integration variables [7]

$$X \to X + \epsilon X^{p+1} \tag{2}$$

in the integral over $N \times N$ Hermitean matrix

$$Z_N^{(d)}\{t\} = \int DX\ \exp -trV\{X\} \tag{3}$$

$$V\{X\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_k X^k$$

However, it may be more reasonable to take these Virasoro constraints for a straightforward definition of $Z_{qg}\{T\}$, which does not refer to a sophisticated change of variables $\{t\} \to \{T\}$ [6] and to discrete model (3) at all.

As for Z_{tg} it was recently represented by M.Kontsevich [8] in terms of another matrix model

$$Z_{tg} = \lim_{sizeX \to \infty} Z_{tg}^{(d)} \tag{4}$$

where

$$Z_{tg}^{(d)} = \frac{1}{C[M]} \int DX \ \exp -tr\{MX^2 + X^3\} \tag{5}$$

with

$$C[M] = \int DX \ \exp -tr\{MX^2\} = \det(M \otimes I + I \otimes M)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{6}$$

and X, M being (anti)Hermitean matrices.

It is a simple combinatorial result, that as soon as the size of X goes to infinity $Z_{tg}^{(d)}$ becomes dependent only on the variables [1]

$$T_m = \frac{3^{2m+1}}{m+\frac{1}{2}} tr \ M^{-2m-1} - \frac{4}{3\sqrt{3}} \delta_{m,1} \tag{7}$$

The explicit formulation of the original suggestion in these terms would be

$$Z_{tg}\{T_m\} = \sqrt{Z_{qg}\{T_n\}} \tag{8}$$

which in particular implies the what is known as Witten's suggestion, *i.e.* that $Z_{tg}\{T\}$ is like $\sqrt{Z_{qg}\{T\}}$ a τ-function of KdV-hierarchy. A necessary condition for (8) to hold is that $Z_{tg}\{T\}$ defined by (4-6) satisfies the same Virasoro constraints (1).

This is the statement which we are going to prove in the paper. Moreover we shall prove the relation involving $Z_{tg}^{(d)}$ (see eq.(16) below), *i.e.* valid for *finite* dimensional matrices X, which implies the entire set of Virasoro constraints only as the size goes to infinity.

This statement is equivalent to (8) modulo:

---

[1] We shall see the origin of $\delta_{m,1}$ term and the $\frac{1}{m+\frac{1}{2}}$ factor below. In these both aspects (7) is different from Kontsevich's definition of times variables. Though his choice is more appropriate for the study of generating functional for intersection indices, (7) is better to stablish the correspondence with 1-matrix model. In the other words, the generating functional of intersection indices appears to be a $\tau-$function only after appropriate rescaling of "time"-variables.

(i) the assertions which have been made by Kontsevich in the derivation of (4-6) from Penner's formalism of fat graphs, and

(ii) the so far subtle problem of uniqueness of solutions to Virasoro constraints (1).

We know at least about two recent attempts to develop some similar (*i.e.* exploiting Virasoro constraints) approach to the study of suggestion (8). One is due to E.Witten [9] [2]. Another one is due to Yu.Makeenko and G.Semenoff [10] who investigated the relation between tree level approximation to $\sqrt{Z_{qg}}$ and Z_{tg}. Explicit relation between [10] and our reasoning below deserves further investigation.

2 Main results

Since the Ward identities are a little obscure in Kontsevich's presentation (4-6), we begin with a slight reformulation of his model.

After a shift of integration variable $X \to X - \frac{M}{3}$ and the redefinition $M^2 = 3\Lambda$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}\{\Lambda\} \equiv \int DX \ \exp(-trX^3 + tr\Lambda X) = C[\sqrt{\Lambda}]\exp(\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}tr\Lambda^{3/2})Z_{tg}^{(d)} \tag{9}$$

with

$$C[\sqrt{\Lambda}] = \det(\sqrt{\Lambda}\otimes I + I \otimes \sqrt{\Lambda})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{10}$$

The functional $\mathcal{F}\{\Lambda\}$ can be considered as a kind of matrix-Fourier transform of exponential cubic potential trX^3. It satisfies obvious Ward identities, associated with a shifts of integration variables

$$X \to X + \epsilon_p \tag{11}$$

namely

$$tr(\epsilon_p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \Lambda_{tr}^2} - \frac{1}{3}\epsilon_p \Lambda)\mathcal{F}\{\Lambda\} = 0 \tag{12}$$

---

[2] We were informed about this talk by prof. I.Singer, but unfortunately we do not know what exactly has been done.

Here ϵ_p stands for any X-independent *matrix*, and Λ_{tr} denotes transponent matrix. There is as many as N^2 different choices of ϵ_p (N being the size of X matrix). Though the concrete choice of ϵ_p should not be essential, we shall assume it to be a function of Λ, *e.g.* $\epsilon_p = \Lambda^p$ (with integer or half integer p , again it is not essential). In principle, there is nothing to forbid consideration of X-dependent $\epsilon_p^\prime s$, for example $\epsilon_p = X^{p+1}$ (as implied by [7]). This case was investigated to some extent in [10], but it leads to much more complicated Ward identities than (12) (because the integration measure is no longer invariant, and, what is more important, the cubic form of potential is not preserved). Clearly, the complete basis $\epsilon_p = X^{p+1}$ is somehow related to the basis $\epsilon_p = \Lambda^p$ and after all the Ward identities should be essentially the same (indeed, they were interpreted in [10] as Virasoro constraints), but it is not so simple to prove [3].

Let us return to our Ward identities (12). Substitute in (12) the functional $\mathcal{F}$ in the form, inspired by (9)

$$\mathcal{F}\{\Lambda\} = C[\sqrt{\Lambda}]\exp(\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}tr\Lambda^{3/2})Z\{T_m\} \tag{13}$$

with

$$T_m = \frac{1}{m+\frac{1}{2}}tr\Lambda^{-m-\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{4}{3\sqrt{3}}\delta_{m,1} \tag{14}$$

Our main statement (to be proved in sect.3 below) is that after the substitution of (13) into (12) it turns into

$$\sum_{n\geq -1} tr(\epsilon_p\Lambda^{-n-2})\mathcal{L}_n Z = 0 \tag{15}$$

The identity

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{F}}tr(\epsilon_p\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\Lambda_{tr}^2} - \frac{1}{3}\epsilon_p\Lambda)\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{Z}\sum_{n\geq -1}\mathcal{L}_n Z\ tr(\epsilon_p\Lambda^{-n-2}) \tag{16}$$

is valid *for any size of the matrix* Λ, but only in the limit of infinitely large Λ :

---

[3] In fact, in actual derivating in ref.10 some other "master equations" are used (but not derived) instead of these Virasoro constraints. Master equation (eq.(3.1) in ref.10) is certainly nothing but our Ward identity (12) with $(\epsilon)_{ij} = \delta_{pi}\delta_{pj}$ ($p = 1\ldots N$) in the basis, where Λ is diagonal (comp. with (20) below).

(i) it is reasonable to substitute $Z_{tg}^{(d)}$ in (9) by Z_{tg}, which depends only on $tr\Lambda^{-q}$ with half-integer $q's$;

(ii) the T_m's in (14) are really independent variables;

(iii) all the quantities

$$tr(\epsilon_p \Lambda^{-n-2}) \tag{17}$$

($e.g.$ $tr\Lambda^{p-n-2}$) become algebraically independent, so that eq. (15) implies that

$$\mathcal{L}_n Z_{tg}\{T\} = 0,\ n \geq -1 \tag{18}$$

This concludes our derivation of Virasoro constraints for $Z_{tg}\{T\}$ defined as continuum limit of Kontsevich's partition function.

Note that the fact that operators $\mathcal{L}_n$ in (15) contain only second T-derivatives, is a direct consequence of that only double Λ-derivatives arise in the $l.h.s.$ and thus of the cubic nature of potential trX^3 in Kontsevich's model. It is very interesting (in particular, from the point of view of multimatrix and Potts models) to study the matrix-Fourier transform of potentials like trX^{K+1} for any degree $K+1$. Amusingly enough, the corresponding Ward identities being transformed to the form of (15) contain operators similar to the higher-spin operators of the W_K-algebra instead of the Virasoro generators $\mathcal{L}_n$. This should be somehow related to the recent suggestion of E.Gava and K.Narain [11], namely that the Ward identities in continuum limit of 2-matrix model acquire the form of W_K-algebra constraints if one of potentials is of degree K. For a more close relation between [11] and the Ward identity (12) see [12].

3 The proof of the main result

3.1 An important relation

First, let us point out that $\mathcal{F}\{\Lambda\}$ defined by (13) which we have to differentiate to prove (16) depends only upon the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_k\}$ of the matrix Λ. Therefore, it is natural to consider eq.(16) at the diagonal point $\Lambda_{ij} = 0,\ i \neq j$. The only "non-diagonal" piece of (16) which survives at this point is proportional to

$$\left.\frac{\partial^2 \lambda_k}{\partial \Lambda_{ij} \partial \Lambda_{ji}}\right|_{\Lambda_{mn}=0,\ m\neq n} = \frac{\delta_{ki} - \delta_{kj}}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \ \text{for} \ \ i \neq j. \tag{19}$$

Eq.(19) is nothing but a familiar formula for the second order correction to Hamiltonian eigenvalues in ordinary quantum-mechanical perturbation theory. It can be easily derived from the variation of determinant formula:

$$\delta log(\det\ \Lambda) = tr\frac{1}{\Lambda}\delta\Lambda - \frac{1}{2}tr(\frac{1}{\Lambda}\delta\Lambda\frac{1}{\Lambda}\delta\Lambda) + \dots\ .$$

For diagonal $\Lambda_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$, but, generically, non-diagonal $\delta\Lambda_{ij}$, this equation gives

$$\sum_k \frac{\delta\lambda_k}{\lambda_k} = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j}\frac{\delta\Lambda_{ij}\delta\Lambda_{ji}}{\lambda_i\lambda_j} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i} - \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)\frac{\delta\Lambda_{ij}\delta\Lambda_{ji}}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} + \dots\ ,$$

which proves (19).

If applied to a function $\mathcal{F}\{\Lambda\}$ depending only on eigenvalues of Λ, eq.(19) implies that:

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\Lambda^2}\right)_{ii} \mathcal{F}\left.\{\Lambda\}\right|_{\Lambda_{mn}=0,\ m\neq n} = \sum_j \frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}\{\Lambda\}}{\partial\Lambda_{ij}\partial\Lambda_{ji}}|_{\Lambda_{mn}=0,\ m\neq n} =$$

$$= \sum_j \frac{\partial\mathcal{F}\ /\partial\lambda_i - \partial\mathcal{F}\ /\partial\lambda_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \tag{20}$$

(note that the item $j = i$ is not omitted from the sum).

The general formula for matrix derivative of such functional at diagonal point is:

$$\left(\frac{\partial^{n+1}}{\partial\Lambda^{n+1}}\right)_{ii} \mathcal{F}\left.\{\Lambda\}\right|_{\Lambda_{mn}=0,\ m\neq n} =$$

$$= \sum_{j_1 \cdots j_n} \sum_{\substack{over\ \ permutations \\ [i, j_1 \cdots j_n]}} \frac{\partial\mathcal{F}\ /\partial\lambda_i}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{j_1})\dots(\lambda_i - \lambda_{j_k})}\ . \tag{21}$$

3.2 Simplified example

In order to illustrate the idea, we shall begin now with a simpler calculation which is (i) much more transparent that actual derivation of (16), and (ii) can be also of interest from the point of view of relation between discrete and continuum matrix models. Namely, let us derive the formula for the action of $tr\{\epsilon_p\partial^2/\partial\Lambda^2\}$ on a function $Z^{(d)}\{t_k\}$, $t_k = \frac{1}{k}tr\frac{1}{\Lambda^k}$, $t_0 = -tr\{log\Lambda\}$ (in variance with (14) the integer powers of Λ are involved). Then (using (19))

$$\begin{aligned} tr\left(\epsilon_p\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\Lambda^2_{tr}}\right)Z(t) &= \sum_{k,l\geq 0}\frac{\partial^2 Z}{\partial t_k\partial t_l}tr\left(\epsilon_p\Lambda^{-k-l-2}\right) + \sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{\partial Z}{\partial t_n}tr\left(\epsilon_p\frac{\partial^2 t_n}{\partial\Lambda^2_{tr}}\right) = \\ &= \sum_{n\geq -1}\mathcal{L}_n Z\ tr\left(\epsilon_p\Lambda^{-n-2}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \sum_{k\geq 1}kt_k\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k+n}} + \sum_{\substack{a+b=n\\ a,b\geq 0}}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_a\partial t_b}\ . \tag{23}$$

Remarkably enough, the operators (23) are exactly the Virasoro operators annihilating partition function (3) of discrete matrix model. In these models also the t_0-dependence of $Z^{(d)}$ is fixed:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_0}Z^{(d)} = -NZ^{(d)}.$$

This corresponds to extracting the factor $e^{-Nt_0} = (det\Lambda)^{-N}$ from $Z^{(d)}$. This factor should be compared with

$$C(\sqrt{\Lambda}) = [\det(\sqrt{\Lambda}\otimes I + I\otimes\sqrt{\Lambda})]^{-1/2}$$

in the continuum case. Note that while $C(M) = [\det(M\otimes I + I\otimes M)]^{-1/2}$ is a normalization factor for Gaussian measure $dX\exp\{-tr(MX^2)\}$, in the discrete case we have

$$(det\Lambda)^{-N} = det^{-1/2}M^N = det^{-1/2}(\sqrt{M}\otimes\sqrt{M}). \tag{24}$$

This represents a normalization factor for slightly different Gaussian measure , that is, $dX\ \exp\{-tr(\sqrt{M}X\sqrt{M}X)\}$.

The derivation in this subsection is not of immediate use, since (i) we did not find anything like Ward identity

$$tr\left(\epsilon_p\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\Lambda^2_{tr}}\right)Z(t)=0, \tag{25}$$

and (ii) in the case of finite size N of the matrices there is no way to distinguish particular terms in the sum over n in (22) (the quantities $tr\{\epsilon_p\Lambda^{-n-2}\}$ are algebraically dependent). Still it is an amusing reformulation of discrete Virasoro constraints, especially because it is somewhat generalizable:

$$tr\epsilon_p\left(\frac{\partial^n}{\partial\Lambda^n_{tr}}\right)Z^{(d)}\{t\}=\sum_{n\geq 1-K}\tilde{W}^{(K)}_n Z^{(d)}\{t\}\ tr\left(\epsilon_p\Lambda^{-K-n}\right) \tag{26}$$

is similarly represented as a sum of harmonics of the (discrete-model) $\tilde{W}^{(K)}$-operators,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{W}^{(K)}_n &= \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_{K-1}} k_1t_{k_1}\ldots k_{K-1}t_{k_{K-1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k_1+\ldots+k_{K-1}+n}}+\ldots+\\ &+\sum_{a_1+\ldots+a_K=N}\frac{\partial^K}{\partial t_{a_1}\ldots\partial t_{a_K}}\ .\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Tilde over $\tilde{W}$ stands to emphisize that the relative coefficients in the sum (27) do not coinside with the conventional definition of $W^{(K)}$-operators of W-algebra. While (16) — the continuum-model analogue of (22) — will be expliciteely derived in s.3.3. below, the analogue of (27), which hopefully exists as well, deserves more efforts to be worked out.

3.3 Derivation of eq.(16)

Now we shall turn directly to the proof of (16). Since ϵ_p is assumed to be a function of Λ, it can be, in fact, treated as a function of eigenvalues λ_i. After that, (16) can be rewritten in the following way:

$$\frac{e^{-\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}tr\Lambda^{3/2}}}{C(\sqrt{\Lambda})Z\{T\}}\left[tr\ \epsilon_p\{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\Lambda^2}-\frac{1}{3}\Lambda\}\right]C(\sqrt{\Lambda})e^{\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}tr\Lambda^{3/2}}Z\{T\}= \tag{28}$$

$$=\frac{1}{Z}\sum_{a,b\geq 0}\frac{\partial^2 Z}{\partial T_a\partial T_b}\sum_i\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\frac{\partial T_a}{\partial\lambda_i}\cdot\frac{\partial T_b}{\partial\lambda_i}+ \tag{29}$$

$$+\frac{1}{Z}\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{\partial Z}{\partial T_n}\left[\sum_{i,j}\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\frac{\partial^2 T_n}{\partial\Lambda_{ij}\partial\Lambda_{ji}}+2\sum_i\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\frac{\partial T_n}{\partial\lambda_i}\frac{\partial logC}{\partial\lambda_i}+\right.$$

$$+2\sum_i \epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\frac{\partial T_n}{\partial\lambda_i}\left(\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_i}tr\Lambda^{3/2}\ ]+ \tag{30}$$

$$+\left[\sum_i \epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_i}\left\{\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}\right\}tr\Lambda^{3/2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{3}\sum_i \lambda_i\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)+\right. \tag{31}$$

$$+\sum_{i,j}\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\Lambda_{ij}\partial\Lambda_{ji}}\left\{\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}\right\}tr\Lambda^{3/2}\right)+ \tag{32}$$

$$+\,2\sum_i \epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\left\{\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}\right\}\frac{\partial tr\Lambda^{3/2}}{\partial\lambda_i}\frac{\partial logC}{\partial\lambda_i}+ \tag{33}$$

$$+\frac{1}{C}\sum_{i,j}\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial\Lambda_{ij}\partial\Lambda_{ji}}\ ] \tag{34}$$

with $tr\Lambda^{3/2} = \sum_k \lambda_k^{3/2}$ and

$$C = \prod_{i,j}(\sqrt{\lambda}_i + \sqrt{\lambda}_j)^{-1/2}. \tag{35}$$

First, since

$$\frac{\partial T_n}{\partial\lambda_i} = -\lambda_i^{-n-3/2} \tag{36}$$

it is easy to notice that the term with the second derivatives (29) can be immediately written in the desired form:

$$\begin{aligned}(29) &= \sum_{n\geq -1}\sum_i \epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\lambda_i^{-n-2}\sum_{a+b=n-1}\frac{\partial^2 Z}{\partial T_a\partial T_b} = \\ &= \sum_{n\geq -1} tr\{\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\Lambda^{-n-2}\}\sum_{a+b=n-1}\frac{\partial^2 Z}{\partial T_a\partial T_b}.\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

For the first-derivative terms with the help of (35),

$$\frac{\partial logC}{\partial\lambda_i} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda}_i}\sum_j \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}_i + \sqrt{\lambda}_j} \tag{38}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_i}tr\Lambda^{3/2} = \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{\lambda}_i \tag{39}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 T_n}{\partial\Lambda_{ij}\partial\Lambda_{ji}} = \sum_k \frac{\partial^2\lambda_k}{\partial\Lambda_{ij}\partial\Lambda_{ji}}\frac{\partial T_n}{\partial\lambda_k} + \frac{\partial^2 T_n}{\partial\lambda_i^2}\delta_{ij}\ . \tag{40}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{Z}\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{\partial Z}{\partial T_n}\left[\sum_{i,j}\left\{\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\frac{\lambda_i^{n-3/2}\lambda_j^{n-3/2}}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}+\sqrt{\lambda_j}} + \sum_{a+b=2(n+1)}(\sqrt{\lambda_i})^a(\sqrt{\lambda_j})^b + \right.\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad + \lambda_i^{-n-2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}+\sqrt{\lambda_j}}\ \} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\sum_i \epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\lambda_i^{-n-1}\ ] = \\
&= \frac{1}{Z}\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{\partial Z}{\partial T_n}\left[\sum_{i,j}\sum_{a=0}^{n-1}\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\lambda_i^{-n-2+a}\lambda_j^{-a-1/2} - \sum_i\frac{2}{2\sqrt{3}}\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\lambda_i^{-n-1}\right] = \\
&= \frac{1}{Z}\sum_{n\geq -1}\sum_i \epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\lambda_i^{-n-2}\sum_{k\geq\delta_{n+1,0}}\left(\sum_j \lambda_j^{-k-1/2} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\delta_{k,1}\right)\frac{\partial Z}{\partial T_{n+k}}\ ] = \\
&\qquad\qquad = \frac{1}{Z}\sum_{n\geq -1} tr(\epsilon_p\Lambda^{-n-2})\sum_{k\geq\delta_{n+1,0}}(k+\frac{1}{2})T_k\frac{\partial Z}{\partial T_{n+k}},
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

where

$$T_k = \frac{1}{k+1/2}tr\Lambda^{-k-1/2} - \frac{4}{3\sqrt{3}}\delta_{k,1}.$$

The remaining part contains terms proportional to Z itself which need a bit more care. First, it is easy to notice that two items in (31) just cancell each other, so the (31) gives no contribution to the final result. For (32) we have

$$\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}\left[\sum_i \epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\frac{\partial^2 tr\Lambda^{3/2}}{\partial\lambda_i^2} + \sum_{i,j}\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\frac{\partial tr\Lambda^{3/2}}{\partial\lambda_k}\frac{\partial^2\lambda_k}{\partial\Lambda_{ij}\partial\Lambda_{ji}}\right]\ . \tag{42}$$

Using (39) and (19) it can be transformed into

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\sum_i \epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\lambda_i^{-1/2} + \sum_{i\neq j}\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_i}-\sqrt{\lambda_j}}{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}\right] = \\
&\qquad\qquad = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\sum_{i,j}\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}+\sqrt{\lambda_j}}
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

and this cancells (33) (using (38), (39)). Thus, the only contribution is (34), which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{C}\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial\Lambda_{ij}\partial\Lambda_{ji}} &= \sum_k \frac{\partial^2\lambda_k}{\partial\Lambda_{ij}\partial\Lambda_{ji}}\frac{\partial logC}{\partial\lambda_k} + \frac{1}{C}\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial\lambda_i^2}\delta_{ij} = \\
&= \sum_k \frac{1}{2(\lambda_i-\lambda_j)}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_j}(\sqrt{\lambda_j}+\sqrt{\lambda_k})} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}(\sqrt{\lambda_i}+\sqrt{\lambda_k})}\right](1-\delta_{ij}) + \\
&+ \delta_{ij}\left[\frac{\partial^2 \mathrm{logC}}{\partial\lambda_i^2} + \left(\frac{\partial logC}{\partial\lambda_i}\right)^2\right]. \qquad (44)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using (19′) and (38) we obtain for (34):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{\frac{1}{16}\sum_i \epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\lambda_i^{-2} + \right. \\
+ &\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i,j}\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\lambda_i^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}(\sqrt{\lambda_i}+\sqrt{\lambda_j})} + \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\lambda_i}+\sqrt{\lambda_j})^2}\right) + \\
+ &\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i,j,k}\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\lambda_i^{-1}\frac{1 \quad 1}{(\sqrt{\lambda_i}+\sqrt{\lambda_j})(\sqrt{\lambda_i}+\sqrt{\lambda_k})} + \\
+ &\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j,k}\frac{\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)}{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_j}(\sqrt{\lambda_j}+\sqrt{\lambda_k})} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}(\sqrt{\lambda_i}+\sqrt{\lambda_k})}\right]\} = \\
= &\frac{5}{16}\sum_i \epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\lambda_i^{-2} + \sum_{i\neq j}\left(\frac{1}{4}\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\lambda_i^{-1}\lambda_j^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\lambda_i^{-3/2}\lambda_j^{-3/2}\right) + \\
+ &\sum_{i\neq j\neq k}\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\left(\frac{1}{4\lambda_i(\sqrt{\lambda_i}+\sqrt{\lambda_j})(\sqrt{\lambda_i}+\sqrt{\lambda_k})} + \right. \\
+ &\left.\frac{1}{2(\lambda_i-\lambda_j)}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_j}(\sqrt{\lambda_j}+\sqrt{\lambda_k})} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_j}(\sqrt{\lambda_i}+\sqrt{\lambda_k})}\right]\right) = \\
= &\frac{5}{16}\sum_i \epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\lambda_i^{-2} + \sum_{i\neq j}\left(\frac{1}{4}\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\lambda_i^{-1}\lambda_j^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\lambda_i^{-3/2}\lambda_j^{-3/2}\right) + \\
+ &\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i\neq j\neq k}\epsilon(\lambda)\lambda_i^{-1}\lambda_j^{-1/2}\lambda_k^{-1/2}. \qquad (45)
\end{aligned}$$

In the last equality we used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j\neq k}\frac{1}{(\lambda_i-\lambda_j)}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_j}(\sqrt{\lambda_j}+\sqrt{\lambda_k})} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_j}(\sqrt{\lambda_i}+\sqrt{\lambda_k})}\right] = \qquad (46) \\
= &\frac{1}{4}\sum_{j\neq k}\left\{\frac{1}{(\lambda_i-\lambda_j)}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_j}(\sqrt{\lambda_j}+\sqrt{\lambda_k})} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_j}(\sqrt{\lambda_i}+\sqrt{\lambda_k})}\right] + \right. \\
&\left. + (j \longleftrightarrow k)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, (45) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{16}\sum_i \epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\lambda_i^{-2} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_i \epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\lambda_i^{-1}\sum_{j,k}\lambda_j^{-1/2}\lambda_k^{-1/2} =$$

$$= \sum_n \left(\sum_i \epsilon_p(\lambda_i)\lambda_i^{-n-2}\right)\left\{\frac{1}{16}\delta_{n,-1}\left[2\sum_j \lambda_j^{-1/2}\right]^2 + \frac{1}{16}\delta_{n,0}\right\}. \tag{47}$$

Now taking together (37), (41) and (47) we obtain our main result:

$$\frac{e^{-\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}tr\Lambda^{3/2}}}{C(\sqrt{\Lambda})Z\{T\}}\left[tr\ \epsilon_p\{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\Lambda^2} - \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\}\right]C(\sqrt{\Lambda})e^{\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}tr\Lambda^{3/2}}Z\{T\} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z}\sum_{n\geq -1} tr(\epsilon_p\Lambda^{-n-2})\left\{\sum_{k\geq\delta_{n+1,0}}(k+1/2)T_k\frac{\partial}{\partial T_k} + \sum_{\substack{a+b=n-1\\ a\geq 0,b\geq 0}}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial T_a\partial T_b} + \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{1}{16}\delta_{n,0} + \frac{1}{16}\delta_{n+1,0}T_0^2\ \right\} Z(T) = 0. \tag{48}$$

This completes the derivation.

Aknowledgements

We are deeply indepted to prof.I.Singer who informed us about the talk [9] and encouraged us to comlete our study of Ward identities in Kontsevich's model. We benefited a lot from illuminating disscussions on the subject with E.Corrigan, A.Gerasimov, S.Kharchev, M.Kontsevich, Yu.Makeenko, A.Niemi, I.Zakharevich.

We are grateful to the hospitality of Math. Sci. Res. Inst., Berkeley, CA and the Research Institute for Theoretical Physics (TFT) at Helsinki University were some pieces of this work have been done. A.Mor. is also very much indepted for the hospitality of Dept. of Math. Sci. of Durham University. The work of A.Mar. and A.Mir. was partially supported by the Research Institute for Theoretical Physics (TFT) at Helsinki University while that of A.Mor. by the UK Science and Engeneering Council through the Visiting Fellowship program and by NORDITA.

References

1. V.Kazakov Mod.Phys.Lett. A4 (1989) 2125

   E.Brezin, V.Kazakov Phys.Lett. B236 (1990) 144

   M.Douglas, S.Shenker Nucl.Phys. B335 (1990) 635

   D.Gross, A.Migdal Phys.Rev.Lett. 64 (1990) 127

2. M.Douglas Phys.Lett. B238 (1990) 176

3. E.Witten Nucl.Phys. B340 (1990) 281

   R.Dijkgraaf, E.Witten Nucl.Phys. B342 (1990) 486

4. M.Fukuma, H.Kawai, R.Nakayama Int.J.Mod.Phys. A6 (1991) 1385

5. R.Dijkgraaf, E.Verlinde, H.Verlinde Nucl.Phys. B356 (1991) 574

6. Yu.Makeenko et.al. Nucl.Phys. B356(1991) 574

7. J.Ambjorn, J.Jurkiewicz, Yu.Makeenko Phys.Lett. B (1990)

   A.Gerasimov et.al. Nucl.Phys. B357 (1991) 565

   A.Mironov, A.Morozov Phys.Lett. B252 (1990) 47

8. M.Kontsevich Funk.Anal. i Priloz. 25 (1991) 50

9. E.Witten in talk at NYC conference, June 1991

10. Yu.Makeenko, G.Semenoff ITEP/UBC preprint, July 1991

11. E.Gava, K.Narain Phys.Lett. B263 (1991) 213

12. A.Marshakov, A.Mironov, A.Morozov preprint ITEP-M-5/91 — FIAN/TD/05-91